Schem	e Title	:	West	Hamp	stead	d Area	Schem	e - 20r	nph Aı	rea & Improvements	1		1		
Consu				oiro Ba	1 mo		ndiv D	,	Office	e use only					
No	Har 20m	West npstea ph Are	ad - ea &	West	End	Lane mit & nents	West I Cycle	lamps	tead - ability	Resident/Business Address Street Name		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Comments
	Positive	Negative	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Neutral		Stakeholders	R&A & Local Groups	Businesses	Resident	
1								1		Gladys Road				1	Concerned about safety implications for cyclists.
2								1		Gascony Avenue				1	Concerned about safety implications for cyclists.
3								1		Greencroft Gardens				1	Concerned about safety implications for both drivers and cyclists.
4	1	1		1				1		WHAT Committee		1			Support the proposed 'hump' at the junction of Sumatra and Glenbrook, but not the raised entry treatments on Solent Road. 2) Against cyle permeability measures. 3) Support 20mph limit proposal on West End Lane.
															Against the proposal to install a raised table junction at the meeting of Sumatra Road and Glenbrook Road as
5 6	1	1								JG Associates Solent Road	-		1	1	it is a waste of money.  Thoroughly supports proposals.
7							1			Broadhurst Gardens				1	Believes that the proposed plans to add contraflow cycle lanes to the roads linking Finchley Road and West End Lane are essential to improving the safety of cycling in the local area. Suggests car owners should not dictate council policy.
															All for cyclists, but against cycle lanes as there is not enough room on the
9	1	1							1	Canfield Gardens Hillfield Road				1	carriageway.  In favour of the 20mph limit, but not the proposals for the raised junction or raised entry treatments on the grounds that they are not needed.  Concerned about safety implications
10								1		Priory Road				1	for cyclists.
11	1							1		Solent Road  Greencroft Gardens				1	Junction and raised entry treatments.  Against proposals on the grounds that there is not enough room for cycle lanes and that parking will be lost.  Concerned that there is not enough
13								1		Gascony Avenue				1	room for contra-flow cycling on the carriageway.
14							1			Cleve Road				1	For cycle permeability, except on Canfield Gardens where only the very end of this road, where it joins Compayne Gardens should be opened up.
15								1		Goldhurst Terrace				1	Against contra-flow cycling on grounds of cycle lanes and safety concerns.
16 17				1					4	Fordwych Road Greencroft Gardens				1	Fully supports proposals.  Concerned about safety implications.
18		1								Greencroft Gardens Sumatra Road				1	Against the scheme because drivers already travel at under 20mph when circumstances require and so this is seen as a waste of money.
19								1		Greencroft Gardens				1	Objects to contra-flow cycling.
20	1	1					1			Compayne Gardens Unspecified				1	In favour of contra-flow cycling. Against the proposal to install a raised table junction at the meeting of Sumatra Road and Glenbrook Road but is supportive of the raised entry treatments on Solent Road.
22									1	Fairhazel Gardens				1	Suggests that contra-flow cycle lanes are dangerous when vehicles attempt to park. Doesn't state that he/she is against the scheme.
23								1		Greencroft Gardens				1	Against contra-flow cycling on grounds of cycle lanes and that it is a waste of money.

													Against contra-flow cycling. Mentions dangers of; steepness on Greencroft Gardens, motorists opening doors into the path of oncoming cyclists, powered two-wheelers using contra-flow routes, setting a precendent for cyclists traveling down one-way roads, dangerous for pedestrians who are not
24								1	Greencroft Gardens			1	familiar with the road layout.
25	4	1							Output Read			1	Supportive of 20mph area, but objects in strong terms to the raised junction
25	- 1	- 1							Solent Road				and entry treatments. Welcomes contra-flow cycling but does
26							1		Elsworthy Road			1	not see the point in making Greencroft Gardens contra-flow as Canfield Gardens is very close.
20									Lisworthy Hoad				In favour of the Council's proposed
27	1								Cholmley Gardens	-		1	improvements. Concerned about the safety of
28								1	Canfield Gardens				pedestrians.
29								1	Priory Road			1	Against contra-flow cycling.
30			1						Holmdale Road			1	Requests a one-way traffic system in the area.
0.4	4												Thinks it is a good idea and would like
31	1								Dennington Park Road	+		1	to see it happen.
32								1	Acol Road			1	Concerned about the safety of cyclists. As a resident of Greencroft Gardens, a car owner - driver - and cyclist - is
33							1		0			4	completely for this measure. Finds it difficult to accept it as a negative move.
33							- '		Greencroft Gardens			-	Believes the concept is 'mistaken' and
34 35								1	Greencroft Gardens			1	unsafe. Against the scheme.
33								1	Canfield Gardens				Requests road humps on Sumatra
36			1						Sumatra Road			1	Road.  Does not believe this is a good or safe
37								1	Canfield Gardens			1	proposal.
38								1	Priory Road			1	Against the scheme.  Delighted by the proposal. Sees this as
													making cycling much more convenient
39							1		Canfield Gardens	-		1	in West Hampstead.
40	1								Pandora Road			1	Welcomes the implementation of all the traffic calming measures outlined, however is concerned about a lack of measures for the junction of Pandora Road/Holmdale Road/Inglewood Road.
41							1		Canfield Gardens			1	Supports the Council's plan to allow contra-flow cycling on one-way streets. Suggests it is great to see Camden Council proposing such environmentally initiatives.
42								1	West Hampstead Mews			•	Concerned about the safety issues for cyclists.
43									Kylemore Road				Concerned that, unless the street lighting is increased on Sherriff Road, there is the potential for serious accidents involving cyclists as a result of this proposal.
44			1						Mill Lane				Suggets that the Council considers: 1) Prohibiting the 139 bus from using Mill Lane as they are too big, and 2) Prohibiting traffic from a) turning left into Mill Lane from Shoot Up Hill, b) turning right into Shoot Up Hill from Mill Lane, and c) turning right into Shoot Up Hill from Mapesbury Road.
45	1								Sumatra Road			1	Strongly agrees with proposals.
46				1	1				West End Lane			1	Agrees with the 20mph limit but not the building out of the footway.
47								1	Greencroft Gardens				Concerned about access to property during works.
48						1			West End Lane			1	Concerned about access to property during works.
49								1	Greencroft Gardens			1	Has no objections to the proposed arrangement in Greencroft Gardens as it simply recognises that for some years cyclists have cycled against traffic on a daily basis. Concerned also about the affect to parking.
70											<del></del>	<u> </u>	and the direct to painting.

$\overline{}$											A second the value of table in Company
50		1						Inglewood Road		1	Against the raised table in Sumatra Road. Suggests the need for humps on Inglewood Road.
51	1	1						Glenbrook Road			Agrees with the 20mph area but not not the raised table junction on Glenbrook Road/Sumatra Road.
52		1						Clarkysol: Dood		1	Suggests works on Solent and Sumatra/Glenbrook are not necessary.
53	1							Glenbrook Road Sumatra Road			Agrees with proposals and also suggests that the Council should look into measures at the bend by the children's playground as vehicles move down this road at speed.
54		1						Messina Avenue			Not against the 20mph limit in principlal, but totally against the implementation of road humps.
55						1		Messina Avenue		1	Suggests that there is no evidence to support the need to create a contraflow cycle route on Messina Avenue.
56		1						Mill Lane Traders Association	1		Oppose the building of the raised table junction on Glenbrook Road/Sumatra Road.
57	1							Sumatra Road		1	Supports the introduction of a 20mph area, but has reservations about the installation of a raised table in Sumatra Road, not because of a belief that they are not a good thing in principle, but because of the disruption caused during their installation.
58	1	1						Sumatra Road		1	Against the raised table in Sumatra Road but supportive of raised entry treatments on Solent Road as this crossroads has poor visibilty due to parked cars in all directions.
59						1		Canfield Gardens		1	Concerned about safety as a result of contra-flow cycling.
60					1			Gascony Avenue		1	Supports cyling access as long as parking is not compromised.
61					1			Compayne Gardens		1	As a commuting cyclist, the improvements are welcome - perhaps the best local government news all year.
62	1							Solent Road		1	Approves of the measures to install a raised table junction on Sumatra Road / Glenbrook Road and raised entry treatments on Solent Road.
63			1					Sumatra Road		1	Against the installation of flat top speed humps. (These were never proposed - does not mention any other proposals).
64							1	Cotleigh Road		1	Questions whether the scheme will definitinly go ahead, or whether there is an oppurtunity for local residents to comment. (Makes no comments).
65	1	1						Glenbrook Road			Not against the 20mph limit, but would prefer not to have road hmps introduced.
66			1					Inglewood Road		1	Suggests the only solutuon to high accident rates is converting these roads to one-way systems.
67					1			Broadhurst Gardens			Fully supports the effors to improve cycling in West Hampstead.
68			1					Sumatra Road		1	Concerned about safety on Sumatra Road. (Offers no opinions on proposals).
69							1	Gascony Avenue			Confused about the proposals. (Responded).
70						1		Canfield Gardens			Completely against the scheme on the grounds of safety.
71	1							Sumatra Road		1	In favour of the proposals.  Against contra-flow cycling as it will result in confusion and, it is feared,
72						1		Priory Road		1	accidents. Against contra-flow cycling as the installation of cycle lanes will mean a
73						1		Gascony Avenue		1	loss of parking. Also concerned about cyclist and pedestrian safety.
74		1						Sumatra Road		1	Suggests that a 20mph area is of little use. Requests a one-way system to be introduced.

75							1		Canfield Gardens		1	Against contra-flow cycling on the grounds of pedestrian safety.
76						1			Compayne Gardens			Strongly in favour of these proposals.
,,,									osinpayne dardens		<u> </u>	Questions how this will improve safety, and how a cycle lane will fit on these
77								1	Canfield Gardens		1	roads.
78						1			Grange Place		1	As a cyclist, very happy about these measures.
79						1			Unspecified		1	Thankful for these works - suggests they are long overdue.
												Against the 20mph area and speed humps as they are a waste of time and
80		1							Dennington Park Road		1	money. Suggests that contra-flow cycling on
81							1		Hilltop Road		1	Suggests that contra-now cycling on Sherriff Road is already happening (illegally), and that encouraging this would be dangerous. Also questions where there would be room to fit a contra-flow cycle lane in Sherriff Road, and suggests that cyclists use Hemstal Road.
												Requests raised table junction (as per
82	1								Glenbrook Road		1	Glenbrook Road), at Narcissus Road. Supports the proposals to introduce a
83	1								Kingdon Road		1	20mph area and improve safety.  Disagrees with the proposal to make
84							1		Priory Road		1	Priory Road a two way street as it is impossible for two cars to pass each other in this tiny road.
85								1	Swiss Cottage Ward - Councillor Freeman	1		[NO FORMAL RESPONSE RECEIVED] - but seems against contra-flow on Canfield Gardens (because of a lack of space), and Greencroft (because cyclists can already use Canfield).
86					1				Crediton Hill		1	Requests that the 20mph limit on West End Lane be extended up to its meeting with Finchley Road. Also requests '20mph' cicrcles on Crediton Hill, by the junction with West End Lane.
87						1			Broadhurst Gardens		1	In favour of proposals to open up one- way streets but angered by cyclists using the pavements.
88			1						Inglewood Road		1	Commends the proposals, but suggests funding should instead be used to combat the CTax burden.
89							1		Greencroft Gardens		1	Suggests the money to open up one- way streets would be wasted as cyclists and other vehicles ignore these limits anyway.
00							1					Against contra-flow cycling, especially on Cleve Road. Mentions difficults to park and the speed of vehicles.
90								1	Cleve Road Priory Road			Concerned about the length of works, and access to property during this time.
92						1			Unspecified		1	Believes this is a great idea. Sold car after 40 years and is now an avid cyclist. Cites benefits such as cleaner air quality, health, and well being.
93	1								Narcissus Road		1	Suggests that these steps are insufficient, but that anything that can be done to reduce the traffic and/or slow it down is supported.
94								1	Priory Road			Questions whether the scheme will affect parking.
J4									i nory rivau		† '	Suggests that instead of installing raised junction tables, the Council
95			1						Sumatra Road		1	make Sumatra Road one-way.  Questions whether; 1) A cycle lane will be introduced, 2) Access to property
96								1	Messina Avenue		1	will be affected, 3) If parking will be affected, and if so, how.
97								1	Unspecified		1	Commends the Council for their practice of putting concultation documents online, as it is a benefit to the environment.
									Sumatra Road			Against a 20mph area as speed is not the problem.

											Concerned about the cobbled street surface on Kingsgate Place. Also concerned about the speed of cycles
00											and asks if a speed limit could be
99							1	Kingsgate Place		1	introduced on these new routes.
											All for proposals. Suggests the Council make some roads one-way streets as well. Also claims that raised junction tables are fancy but unecessary in
100	1							Kingdon Road		1	days of tight financing.
101				1				West End Lane			Happy about the improvement of West End Lane.
102						1		Gascony Avenue		1	Against contra-flow cycling on Gascony Avenue - mentions a blind curve on this road. Also against these measures on the grounds of cycle lanes affecting residents' parking.
											Approves of these proposals as there
100											are many young children in the area and so any proposals to reduce speed
103	1							Solent Road		1	would be welcome.
104			1					Melliface		4	Questions how many accidents happened in this area compared to other streets, what the nature of the accidents were, how many accidents these measures would save, and what the estimated cost of the works are.
104	-		-					Mill Lane			the estimated cost of the works are.
405											No objections to the 20mph area, but totally opposed to the construction of raised tables at the two mentioned sites, as these are unecessary,
105 106	1	1						Solent Road			ineffective, and expensive. Fully endorses a 20mph area.
106	1							Sumatra Road		1	,
											Suggests 20mph area is a good idea, 2) Supports raised tables as long as parking rules are enforced, 3)     Recommends that cars are not allowed to park on both sides of Mill lane as it is too narrow at times for the bus, 4) Suggests an area of double yellow lines be used at the bend in Sumatra Road in between Kingdon Road and
107	1							Solent Road			Sandwell Crescent.
108	1							Kingdon Road			Strongly supportive of the 20mph and improvements.  Welcomes a 20mph area. Any
109	1							Sumatra Road		1	measures to slow down vehicles would be an improvement.
	7										[Comments have no relevance to the
110			- 1					Dennington Park Road		1	scheme] Against proposals to install a raised
111	1	1						Sumatra Road			table junction. Suggests they will be an uneccessary disruption to residents, an uneccessary cost, and tha road humps will cause unecessary noise. Supportive, however, of the 20mph area.
112	1							Sumatra Road		1	In full support of proposals.
113	1							Holmdale Road			Very supportive of the 20mph area. Against 20mph area. Suggests people should be allowed to exercise common
114		1						Holmdale Road		1	sense.  Concerned about access to property
115					1			West End Lane		1	during works.

							Г	- 1		,		
												Suggests that a 20mph area is
												probably a good idea. Is of the opinion
												that the flat top junction is in the wrong
												place. Suggests the dangerous areas
J												are at Solent Road/Mill Lane, the area
J												of Mill Lane where shops are, Hilltop
												Road, Narcissus Road, and Solent
J												Road as far down as Sumatra Road.
J												Also mentions a danger on West End
J								- 1				Lane where it meets Iverson Road.  This is seen to be poorly lit. There is
												no controlled right turn lane and both
												intersections need widening. There is
												constant heavy traffic and constant
116	1	1					Unspecified				1	crowds of people.
117	1		1		1		Lowfield Road					Good plans.
118	1						Sumatra Road				1	Wholeheartedly support proposals.
119	1						Dennington Park Road				1	Approves of proposals.
												Thinks that the propsals are excellent
120	1						Inglewood Road				1	and hopes they are implemented.
ſ							$\Box$		Ī			Supportive of 20mph area, but objects
- 1												to the raised table junction at
- 1												Sumatra/Glenbrook on the grounds
البيرا												that flat-top speed humps be
121	1						Sumatra Road				1	introduced instead.
												Approves of 20mph area but against
J												speed humps or raised tables as they
100												lead to higher pollution and CO2
122	1	1					Sumatra Road					emissions.
123 124	1						Holmdale Road	$\dashv$				In favour of proposals. In favour of 20mph area.
124							Pandora Road	$\dashv$				in lavour or zompii area.
												Concerns that these plans appear to
												have been drawn up without any
												significant input from local residents.
												The group support the measures in
												principle, but are concerned by the
- 1							Broadhuret Gardone , Kilburn and Swiss					issue of safety. Especially concerned
125						1	Broadhurst Gardens - Kilburn and Swiss Cottage Liberal Democrats		1			about the issue of Gascony Avenue.
								_				Agrees with the principle of the
												scheme but questions whether these
												arrangements are sufficient to
												persuade traffic to slow down. Also
												mentions dangers of Holmdale Road,
												b/w Pandora Road and Inglewood
126	1						Sumatra Road				1	Road.
												Strongly approves of the proposed
- 1												20mph limit extension on West End
127			1				Sumatra Road				1	Lane.
							T	ſ	-			Strongly approves of the proposed
ı												works to allow contra-flow cycling
												along several one-ay oads in the West
128					1		Sumatra Road	_			1	Hampstead area.
J								- [				Strongly approves of the proposed
J												works. Suggests a 'dream proposal'
J								- [				would be for a raised table at the bend
												on Sumatra Road. There is a small
J												park on one side and the section of
J												road could be made to appear as an
J												extension of the park, with a few benches and plants, possibly an
J								- [				irregular contour to the road, like a
129	1						Sumatra Road				1	home zone.
123							Cumana noau	$\dashv$				HOME ZONG.
												1) Not sure if Mill Lane itself needs
J												inclusion. First thought is that it is a
- 1												through road (like West End Lane,
												which is not included), whereas all
J												other roads are norrow roads in an
												urban area, and are clearly residential.
												2) There is also a danger between
												morning rush hour when children are
								1		1		
												•
												going to school and there are
												going to school and there are pedestrians about. A 24hour zone on
												going to school and there are pedestrians about. A 24hour zone on Mill Lane will just entrap normal
												going to school and there are pedestrians about. A 24hour zone on Mill Lane will just entrap normal drivers. 3) It also leaves the anomaly
												going to school and there are pedestrians about. A 24hour zone on Mill Lane will just entrap normal drivers. 3) It also leaves the anomaly of Hillfield Road (and the rest of the
							Hillfield Road - Hillfield and Aldred					going to school and there are pedestrians about. A 24hour zone on Mill Lane will just entrap normal drivers. 3) It also leaves the anomaly

131				1			Woodchurch Road		1) Concerned that residents have no oppurtunity to contribute to this proposal. 2) Suggests that widening the pavement will make it impossible for traffic to move two ways simultaneously, creating gridlock. 3) Suggests traffic is slow so there is no need for an island at the zebra crossing. 4) Claims the pavement widening at Lymington Road will mean a larger vehicle will have to go further out in order to avoid driving over the kerb, causing more congestion. 5) Suggests the objective should be to lower congestion on West End Lane, and not speeds.
132						1	Woodchurch Road		1) Complains about a lack of oppurtunity for residents to input. 2) Suggests that the existing contra-flow cycling on Fairhazel Gardens has seen a number of close misses. It is only just wide enough for cycles and cars to pass through together. 3) Points out that although the road experiences light traffic, dangerous situations will occur when two cycles travel in opposite directions, and a van attempt to pass at the same time. 4) Suggests that Greencroft Gardens has a bend preventing people from seeing far ahead making collisions more likely. 5) Mentions steepness of Greencroft Gardens. 6) Cyclists not always riding 1 on the correct side of the road.
133						1	Priory Road - CRASH	1	Suggest that because the Council did not invite consultation on the merits of their proposals, the responses they receive will not properly reflect the view of residents. In favour of cycling, but only where it is 'safe'. Believe that contra-flow cycling in West Hampstead is unsuitable given the nature of these roads - i.e. narrow. Also question whether cycle logos and signs would be enough to warn drivers. Mention dangers of C11 bus on Canfield Gardens.
134	1						Gondar Gardens - GARA	1	The Gondar and Agamemnon Residents' Association (GARA) generally supports these proposals, ie. The 20mph area. They would object, however, to the implementation of road humps in any of these roads.
135		1					Inglewood Road		Wonder how anyone could exceed 20mph in this area due to roadside parking and traffic restrictions. Therefore believes that there is no justification for a 20mph area.
136				1			West End Lane		Disappointed by lack of consultation on West End Lane. Against scheme of the condition that this would limit traffic.  Also questions environmental impact of cars moving at slower speeds and very wary of lengthy roadworks that would 1 ensue as part of this scheme.  Is delighted that cyling is being made
137					1		Compayne Gardens	+	1 safer in NW6.  Objects to the scheme. Concerned about dangers to cyclists and
138						1	Fairhazel Gardens		pedestrians. Mentions the steepness of Greencroft Gardens and the nursery on 1 this road.
139			1				Lymington Road		Suggests that the 20mph limit on West End Lane is a good idea. Also suggests the proposed island by Fawley Road is a good idea. Against contra-floe cycling.

140		1			1				Ranulf Road - West Hampstead Consultation Group		1		ON WEST END LANE: Agrees with Inglewood improvements. Agrees with Fawley zebra island. Suggests that the traffic island on Lymington remain as it is a safe haven for pedestrians crossing there. Against 20mph speed limit as it isn't necessary. ON THE 20MPH AREA: Most of the speeding is above 30mph and so a 20mph limit will not stop this. No safety justification for raised junctions on Glenbrook and Solent. Suggests 'Slow' signage by the children's play area and speed cushions to be removed as they do not help.
													Strongly supportive of the cycle permeability improvements,
141						1			Maida Vale	$\vdash$			particularly on Priory Road.
142			1	1			1		Lyncroft Gardens				Against cycle permeability measures. Mentions safety concerns and disappointment at a lack of formal consultation. Against 20mph limit on West End Lane, as this is the wrong solution. Instead refuge islands should be introduced (lots of them). Not against 20mph/Improvements in the north area of West Hampstead.
143	1								Kingdon Road			1	Fully supports the 20mph proposals, including the raised junctions.
													Against cycle permeability on the ground of safety concerns, waste of funding, and road works resulting in
144							1		Mazenod Avenue				blocked roads.
													Has no problem with the measures in principle, but does not think that there
145								1	Greencroft Gardens			1	is enough room for a cycle track.
146						1			Torriano Cottages, Camden Cycling Campaign (CCC)		1		ON CYCLE PERMEABILITY MEASURES: These proposals adress long-standing needs expressed by cylists for better routes through this area, needs which have been discussed by our members for many years now, in our meetings, during inspections of the area, and in e-mail newsgroup discussions. These improvements will i) enable cyclists to avoid having to make round-about journeys as a result of the current proliferation of one-way roads in the area, and ii) provide very usefuly routes for cyclists to by-ass congested and awkward roads and junctions in the area (especially West End Lane/Abbey Road). Mention dissapointment at failure to recommend contra-flow cycling along broadhurst gardens. Approve of minimal engineering, but trust that signage and linemarking will give oncoming motorists and others suitable notice of the likelihood of meeting contra-flow cyclists.
147									Torriano Cottages, Camden Cycling Campaign (CCC)				ON WEST HAMPSTEAD 20MPH AREA: We are very much in favour of the proposed changes. However, we consider that more should be done to reduce rat-running along Sumatra Road, which can make it unpleasant and dangerous for cyclists using it to avoid congestion and worse on West End Lane. Suggest making both ends of Sumatra Road no-entry for motor vehicles. Appreciate this is a radical move, but consider that it is justified both by the current misuse of Sumatra Road by motorists and the poor conditions for cyclists on West End Lane.

148				1						Torriano Cottages, Camden Cycling Campaign (CCC)		1			ON WEST END LANE: We are in favour of the 20mph limit. However, we would prefer if this could be extended up Fortune Green Road and along adjoining roads. Traffic speeds in this area are dangerous, especially with traffic coming off the Finchley Road. We trust that the proposed centre island on the zebra crossing will leave the carriageway widths compliant with the London Cycle Design Standards. Similarly with the buildouts by Inglewood Road. Otherwise, we would observe that West End Lane remains congested and unpleasant for cyclists with a continuing lack of cycle parking facilities.
149									1	Metropolitan Police	1				Happy with proposals to introduce cycle permeability measures - so long as the criteria specified by independent safety audits are followed.
150			1			1			1	Councillor Spinella	1				Mentions the need for a 'yellow box' on West End Lane, by the junction with Lyminton Road as traffic is becoming blocked.
151							1			Unspecified					Glad to see you are trying to help with our safety as the Finchely Road is so dangerous.
152		1		1				1		Councillor Risso-Gill	1				Concerning the proposed 20mph speed restrictions; this was generally seen to be welcomed for West End Lane, though not in the residential areas. Further, regarding the cycle permeability proposals, the Councillor notes that these have caused concern amongst pedestrian residents. She expresses misgivings as to the point of having a one-way system when allowing one group of road users (i.e. cyclists) to ignore it. The proposal will no doubt cause confusion to drivers and pedestrians and, for these reasons, Councillor is therefore against these proposals. Also mentioned is a problem with delivery lorries outside Tesco at the Lymington Road junction that cause traffic delays in both directions on West End Lane.
153 154															
155															
156 157											L				
Total	44	24	13	9	4	5	21	37	18		4	10	1	137	